To: hagler.tom@epa.gov[]

Cc: []
Bcc: []

From: CN=Tom Hagler/OU=R9/O=USEPA/C=US

Sent: Wed 10/29/2014 4:54:10 PM

Subject: Fw: BDCP CWA/408/10 MOU (UNCLASSIFIED)

Tom Hagler

Assistant Regional Counsel

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

Region 9

75 Hawthorne Street, RC-2

San Francisco, California 94105-3901

Phone: (415) 972-3945

Email: hagler.tom@epamail.epa.gov

----- Forwarded by Tom Hagler/R9/USEPA/US on 10/29/2014 09:53 AM -----

From: Karen Schwinn/R9/USEPA/US

To: "Paul Robershotte" <Paul.j.robershotte@usace.army.mil>, "Michael G SPK Nepstad"

<Michael.G.Nepstad@usace.army.mil>,

Cc: "Mike Jewell" < Michael.S.Jewell@usace.army.mil>, "Smith, Chip R Mr CIV USA ASA CW" < chip.smith1@us.army.mil>, "Salt, Rock" < rock.salt@us.army.mil>, Erin Foresman/R9/USEPA/US@EPA,

Tom Hagler/R9/USEPA/US@EPA Date: 06/24/2011 11:15 AM

Subject: Re: BDCP CWA/408/10 MOU (UNCLASSIFIED)

Thanks Paul! I agree with point #5. Wouldn't next step on that be a submittal from the Lead Agencies, followed by a response from Corps and us? Did David or Mark agree to move forward on that? - Karen

From: "Robershotte, Paul J SPD" [Paul.J.Robershotte@usace.army.mil]

Sent: 06/24/2011 11:07 AM MST

To: "Nepstad, Michael G SPK" < Michael.G.Nepstad@usace.army.mil>

Cc: "Jewell, Michael S SPK" <Michael.S.Jewell@usace.army.mil>; "Smith, Chip R Mr CIV USA ASA CW" <chip.smith1@us.army.mil>; "Salt, Rock" <rock.salt@us.army.mil>; Erin Foresman; Tom Hagler; Karen Schwinn

Subject: BDCP CWA/408/10 MOU (UNCLASSIFIED)

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED

Caveats: NONE

Mike, with cc to Mr Salt, Chip, Mike, Karen, Tom & Erin:

David Nawi & I had a constructive discussion with Mark Cowin, Dale Hoffman-Floerke, and Cathy

Caruthers from DWR this morning. The summary of Mark's comments would include:

1. DWR sees the advantages and benefits of addressing NEPA and CWA both at this time;

- 2. Thus, DWR will pursue being a signatory on the proposed MOU;
- 3. Recognizing, however, this does not require "pressing reset" on our targeted schedules (and recognizing everyone's process fatigue associated with BDCP);
- 4. The version we received Wednesday looks quite good, but we will need some time to digest, ask questions, and suggest edits;
- 5. We see no reason to wait on advancing to Checkpoints identified in the MOU such as agreement on Propose & Need, but do this in parallel with finalizing and signing the MOU.

So, I think we made progress this morning.

Best,

Paul

Paul J Robershotte Special Advisor Integrated Water Resource Planning US Army Corps of Engineers, South Pacific Div 415-503-6639 (office) 415-602-3806 (blackberry) 415-503-6640 (fax)

Building Strong on the Cornerstone of the Southwest!

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED

Caveats: NONE